

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

PAUL OLDS,

Plaintiffs,

V.

3M COMPANY a/k/a MINNESOTA
MINING & MANUFACTURING
COMPANY, et al.,

Defendants.

Case No. 2:12-cv-08539-R-MRW

JUDGMENT

JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO PARKER HANNIFIN CORPORATION'S
MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT

The motion of defendant Parker Hannifin Corporation for summary judgment came on regularly for hearing before this Court on October 7, 2013. After consideration of the admissible evidence, the separate statements, and the authorities of the parties, the Court hereby finds that there is no genuine issue as to any material fact, and defendant Parker Hannifin Corporation is entitled to judgment as a matter of law with respect to all causes of action as follows:

111

111

1 1) There is no genuine issue as to any material fact and defendant Parker
2 Hannifin Corporation is entitled to judgment as a matter of law with respect to
3 plaintiff's First Cause of Action of Negligence.

4 2) There is no genuine issue as to any material fact and defendant Parker
5 Hannifin Corporation is entitled to judgment as a matter of law with respect to
6 plaintiff's Second Cause of Action for Strict Products Liability.

7 3) There is no genuine issue as to any material fact and defendant Parker
8 Hannifin Corporation is entitled to judgment as a matter of law with respect to
9 plaintiff's Third Cause of Action for Breach of Warranty.

10 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that plaintiff take nothing
11 from defendant Parker Hannifin Corporation with respect to plaintiff's claims for
12 relief asserted in his complaint, and judgment is entered in favor of defendant
13 Parker Hannifin Corporation. Plaintiff's Complaint is dismissed with prejudice on
14 the merits as to Parker Hannifin Corporation.

15

16

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Dated: Oct. 24, 2013


HON. MANUEL REAL